

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

CIXISHIHUALONGDIANZIYOUXIANGONGSI  
d/b/a TYKOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

SEVEN SPARTA CORP.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:25-CV-01313-JHC

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which was converted from Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Temporary Restraining Order (Dkt. #6) pursuant to the Court's Order dated July 22, 2025 (Dkt. #7 at 6). The Court considers Defendant's lack of opposition to the motion "as an admission that the motion has merit." LCR 7(b)(2). The Court also held a hearing on the motion on August 4, 2025, at which Defendant did not appear to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue. Thus, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has satisfied the relevant factors for issuing a preliminary injunction for the reasons discussed in its previous order granting in part a temporary restraining order (TRO), Dkt. # 7. *Stuhlbarg Int'l Sales Co. v. John D. Brush & Co.*, 240 F.3d 832, 839 n.7 (9th Cir. 2001)

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

1 (the standard for issuing a TRO is “substantially identical” to the standard for issuing a  
2 preliminary injunction).

3 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(a)(1), “The court may issue a preliminary  
4 injunction only on notice to the adverse party.” As discussed in the Court’s previous order, “an  
5 email address included in the Amazon patent infringement complaint is the only known contact  
6 information for Defendant.” Dkt. # 7 at 3. On July 23, 2025, Plaintiff submitted a copy of this  
7 Court’s TRO order through this email address, six days before the deadline for Defendant’s  
8 response to Plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction. Dkt. ## 7 at 6; 8 at 2. That same  
9 day, the recipient “acknowledge[d] receipt of the TRO” and confirmed “understand[ing] that,  
10 implicit in the Court’s Order, service may be effected via this email address due to its association  
11 with the Amazon complaint.” Dkt. # 8-1 at 2. The recipient further stated: “I will continue to  
12 forward any documents sent to me for service on Seven Sparta, consistent with the Court’s  
13 Order,” and added: “I have forwarded the Order and your correspondence to Seven Sparta and  
14 requested direction regarding compliance.” *Id.* This suggests that Defendant has actual notice  
15 of the motion for preliminary injunction. *See Soberano v. Guillen*, 2021 WL 4970061, at \*1  
16 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 26, 2021) (finding actual notice where the petitioner contacted the  
17 respondent’s brother, who received notice of the proceedings). And at the August 4, 2025  
18 hearing, Plaintiff confirmed that Defendant has complied with the TRO. Thus, Plaintiff has  
19 sufficiently given notice to Defendant of its motion for a preliminary injunction.

20 The Court, however, is not inclined to enter a final order in this matter without  
21 Defendant’s appearance. *See id.* In compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4,  
22 Plaintiff may either serve Defendant with process (i.e., a summons and a copy of the complaint)  
23


24 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

1 or move for alternative service. *See, e.g., Dongguan Zhouda Tech. Co. v. Dai*, 2025 WL  
2 1772099 (W.D. Wash. June 26, 2025).

3 For these reasons, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion and ORDERS:

- 4 ● Defendant SEVEN SPARTA CORP., its officers, agents, employees, and all persons  
5 acting in concert or participation with it, are restrained from submitting any further  
6 complaints to Amazon or any other third-party platform alleging that Plaintiff's  
7 product infringes the '229 Patent until judgment is entered in this case;
- 8 ● Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on Defendant by email and file proof thereof  
9 with the Court within **three days** of the date of this Order;
- 10 ● No bond shall be required in connection with this Order.

11 Dated this 4th day of August, 2025.

12  
13   
14 John H. Chun  
United States District Judge

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION